arXiv:1010.5283v3 [math.QA] 27 Jun 2011

CLIFFORD THEORY FOR GRADED FUSION CATEGORIES

CÉSAR GALINDO

Abstract. We develop a categorical analogue of Clifford theory for strongly graded rings over graded fusion categories. We describe module categories over a fusion category graded by a group G as induced from module categories over fusion subcategories associated with the subgroups of G. We define invariant $\mathcal{C}_e$-module categories and extensions of $\mathcal{C}_e$-module categories. The construction of module categories over $\mathcal{C}$ is reduced to determining invariant module categories for subgroups of G and the indecomposable extensions of this modules categories. We associate a G-crossed product fusion category to each G-invariant $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category and give a criterion for a graded fusion category to be a group-theoretical fusion category. We give necessary and sufficient conditions for an indecomposable module category to be extendable.

1. Introduction and main results

1. Fusion categories arise in several areas of mathematics and physics, *e.g.*, conformal field theory, operator algebras, representation theory of quantum groups, topological quantum computation, topological quantum field theory, low dimensional topology, and others.

It is an important and interesting question to classify indecomposable module categories over a given fusion category. The existence of certain module categories yields valuable information about the fusion category $\mathcal{C}$. For example, $\mathcal{C}$ admits a module category of rank one if and only if $\mathcal{C}$ is the category of representations of a semisimple Hopf algebra.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a fusion category and let G be a finite group. We say that $\mathcal{C}$ is graded by G or G-graded if $\mathcal{C} = \oplus_{\sigma\in G}\mathcal{C}_\sigma$, and for any $\sigma, \tau \in G$, one has $\otimes : \mathcal{C}_\sigma \times \mathcal{C}_\tau \to \mathcal{C}_{\sigma\tau}$. Graded fusion categories are very important in the study and classification of fusion categories, see [3, 4, 5, 6].

2. In [8] the author gives the first steps toward the understanding of module categories over graded tensor categories, developing a Clifford theory for a special kind of graded tensor categories.

The main goal of this paper is to generalize the main results of [8] to arbitrary graded fusion categories and the description using group-theoretical data of the indecomposable module categories of a graded fusion category.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16W30.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a G-graded fusion category. Given a $\mathcal{C}$-module category $\mathcal{M}$, we shall denote by $\Omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{M})$ the set of equivalence classes of indecomposable $\mathcal{C}_e$-submodule categories of $\mathcal{M}$. By Corollary 4.2, the group G acts on $\Omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{M})$ by

$$G \times \Omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{M}) \to \Omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{M}), \quad (\sigma, [X]) \mapsto [\mathcal{C}_\sigma \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} X].$$

3. Our first main result is the Clifford theorem for module categories over fusion categories:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a G-graded fusion category and let $\mathcal{M}$ be an indecomposable $\mathcal{C}$-module category. Then:*

1. *The action of G on $\Omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{M})$ is transitive,*
2. *Let $\mathcal{N}$ be an indecomposable $\mathcal{C}_e$-submodule subcategory of $\mathcal{M}$. Let $H = st([\mathcal{N}])$ be the stabilizer subgroup of $[\mathcal{N}] \in \Omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{M})$, and let also*
$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{N}} = \sum_{h \in H} \mathcal{C}_H \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N}.$$
Then $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{N}}$ is an indecomposable $\mathcal{C}_H$-module category and $\mathcal{M}$ is equivalent to $Ind_{\mathcal{C}_H}^{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{N}})$ as $\mathcal{C}$-module categories.

Here $\mathcal{C}_H$ is the fusion subcategory $\oplus_{\sigma \in H} \mathcal{C}_\sigma \subset \mathcal{C}$, and $\overline{\otimes}$ is defined in Section 4.

Applying Theorem 1.1 to the $\mathcal{C}_H$-module category $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{N}}$ we prove, in Corollary 4.4, that every indecomposable module category over a G-graded fusion category $\mathcal{C}$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_S} \mathcal{N}$, where $\mathcal{N}$ is an indecomposable $\mathcal{C}_S$-module category that remains indecomposable as a $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category, and $S \subset H$ is a subgroup. Also, in Proposition 4.6 we provide a necessary and sufficient conditions for induced module categories to be equivalent.

4. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a fusion category. An indecomposable $\mathcal{C}$-module category is called *pointed module category* if every simple object in $\mathcal{C}^*_{\mathcal{M}}$ is multiplicatively invertible (see subsection 2.3 for the definition of $\mathcal{C}^*_{\mathcal{M}}$). A fusion category is called *group-theoretical* if it admits a pointed $\mathcal{C}$-module category, see [4]. Group-theoretical categories can be explicitly described in terms of finite groups and their cohomology, see [18].

Let $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \mathcal{C}_\sigma$ be a G-graded fusion category. A $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category $\mathcal{M}$, is called G-invariant if $\mathcal{C}_\sigma \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} \mathcal{M}$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{M}$ as $\mathcal{C}_e$-module categories, for all $\sigma \in G$.

Our second main result is a criterion for a graded fusion category to be group theoretical, this generalizes [16, Theorem 3.5].

Theorem 1.2. *A G-graded fusion category $\mathcal{C}$ is group-theoretical if and only if $\mathcal{C}_e$ has a G-invariant pointed category.*

Consequently, if a Tambara-Yamagami category (see[20]), or one of their generalizations in [12] and [13], is the category of representations of a Hopf algebra, then it is a group-theoretical fusion category (this result is well-known for TY categories, see [4, Remark 8.48]).

5. Corollary 4.4 reduces the construction of indecomposable $\mathcal{C}$-module categories over a graded fusion category $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{\sigma\in G} \mathcal{C}_\sigma$, to the construction of $\mathcal{C}_H$-module categories $\mathcal{M}$ such that the restriction to $\mathcal{C}_e$ remains indecomposable, for some subgroup $H \subset G$.

If $(\mathcal{M}, \otimes)$ is a $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category, then an extension of $\mathcal{M}$ is a $\mathcal{C}$-module category $(\mathcal{M}, \odot)$ such that $(\mathcal{M}, \otimes)$ is obtained by restriction to $\mathcal{C}_e$.

Our third main result provides a necessary and sufficient condition for an indecomposable $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category to have an extension.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an indecomposable $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category and $\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathcal{C}_e}^{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})$. Then, by the results of Section 5, the fusion category $\mathcal{C}^*_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}$ has a natural G^{op}-grading.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a G-graded fusion category. Then an indecomposable left $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category $\mathcal{M}$ has an extension $(\mathcal{M}, \odot)$ if and only if $\mathcal{C}^*_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}$ is a semi-direct product fusion category. There is a one-to-one correspondence between equivalence classes of $\mathcal{C}$-extensions of $\mathcal{M}$ and conjugacy classes of graded tensor functors $Vec_G \to \mathcal{C}^*_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}$.*

Consequently, (see Corollary 6.4), if $\mathcal{M}$ is an extension of an indecomposable $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category, $\mathcal{C}^*_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a G^{op}-equivariantization of $\mathcal{C}_e$. Finally, in Proposition 6.8 we describe extensions using group-theoretical data.

6 At the same time this paper was completed, Meir and Musicantov posted the paper [14] containing results similar to some of ours. In this paper module categories over $\mathcal{C}$ are classified in terms of module categories over $\mathcal{C}_e$ and the extension data (c, M, α) of $\mathcal{C}$ defined in [6].

7 The organization of the paper is as follows: In Section 2 we discuss preliminaries. In Section 3 we study module categories graded over a G-set and provide a structure theorem for them. In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 5 we study G-graded module categories and invariant module categories, and we prove Theorem 1.2. In Section 6 we prove Theorem 1.3.

Acknowledgements This work was partially supported by the Project 003568 of the Department of Mathematics, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana. The author thanks M. Mombelli, J. Ochoa and E. Rowell for useful discussions and advise.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout the paper we work over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0. All categories considered in this paper are finite, abelian, semisimple, and k-linear. All functors and bifunctors considered in this paper are additive and k-linear.

2.1. **Fusion categories.** By a fusion category we mean a k-linear semisimple rigid tensor category $\mathcal{C}$ with finitely many isomorphism classes of simple objects, finite dimensional spaces of morphisms and such that the unit object of $\mathcal{C}$ is simple. We refer the reader to [4] for a general theory of fusion categories.

Example 2.1 (Examples of fusion categories)**.** **1.** The category Vec_G of finite dimensional vector spaces graded by a finite group G. Simple objects in this category are $\{k_\sigma\}_{\sigma\in G}$, the vector spaces of dimension one graded by $\sigma \in G$, and the tensor product is given by $k_\sigma \otimes k_\tau = k_{\sigma\tau}$, with the associativity morphism being the identity.

More generally, choose $\omega \in Z^3(G, k^*)$ a normalized 3-cocycle. To this 3-cocycle we can attach a twisted version Vec_G^ω of Vec_G: the simple objects and the tensor product functor are the same, but the associativity isomorphism is given by $\alpha_{V_\sigma,V_\tau,V_\rho} = \omega(\sigma,\tau,\rho)\mathrm{id}$. The pentagon axiom then follows from the cocycle condition

$$\omega(\tau,\rho,\nu)\omega(\sigma,\tau\rho,\nu)\omega(\sigma,\tau,\rho) = \omega(\sigma\tau,\rho,\nu)\omega(\sigma,\tau,\rho\nu).$$

Note that cohomologous cocycles define equivalent fusion categories.

2. The category $\mathrm{Rep}(H)$ of finite dimensional representations of a finite dimensional semisimple quasi-Hopf algebra H.

3. The category of integrable modules (from category $\mathcal{O}$) over the affine algebra $\widehat{sl}_2$ at level l (see [1]).

By a fusion subcategory of a fusion category $\mathcal{C}$ we understand a full tensor subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$. For any fusion category $\mathcal{C}$, the unit object $\mathbf{1}$ generates a trivial fusion subcategory equivalent to Vec, the fusion category of finite dimensional vector spaces over k.

2.2. **Multiplicatively invertible objects and pointed fusion categories.** An object X in $\mathcal{C}$ is said to be *multiplicatively invertible* if X is rigid with a dual object X^* such that $X \otimes X^* = \mathbf{1}$. An invertible object is necessarily simple and the set of isomorphism classes of invertible objects forms a group: the multiplication is given by tensor products and the inverse operation by taking dual objects, we shall denote this group by $U(\mathcal{C})$.

A fusion category is called *pointed* if all its simple objects are multiplicatively invertible. Examples of pointed fusion category are the fusion categories Vec_G^ω.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a fusion category. In order to see whether a pointed fusion subcategory $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{C}$ is tensor equivalent to Vec_G, with $U(\mathcal{D}) = G$, we choose a set $\{X_\sigma\}_{\sigma\in G}$ of representative objects and a family of isomorphisms $\{t_{\sigma,\tau} : X_\sigma \otimes X_\tau \to X_{\sigma\tau}\}_{\sigma,\tau\in G}$. Recall, for all simple object $X \in \mathcal{C}$, $\mathrm{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(X) = k$, thus there exists a unique function $\omega : G \times G \times G \to k^*$, such that

$$t_{X_{\sigma\tau},X_\rho} \circ (t_{X_\sigma,X_\tau} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{X_\rho}) = \omega(g,h,k) t_{X_\sigma,X_{\tau\rho}} \circ (\mathrm{id}_{X_\sigma} \otimes t_{X_\tau,X_\rho})$$

for all $\sigma,\tau,\rho \in G$. The function ω is a 3-cocycle, and the ambiguity of the choice of $t_{\sigma,\tau}$ gives rise to a coboundary of G, the cohomology class

$\omega(\mathcal{D}) \in H^3(G; k^*)$ is well defined, which is referred to as the obstruction of $\mathcal{D}$.

The following proposition is well known and follows from the previous discussion, we include it for reader's convenience.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a pointed fusion category. Then $\mathcal{D}$ is tensor equivalent to Vec_G^{ω}, where $G = U(\mathcal{D})$ and ω is a 3-cocycle in the class of $\omega(\mathcal{D})$. The pointed fusion category $\mathcal{D}$ is tensor equivalent to Vec_G if and only if $\omega(\mathcal{D}) = 0$.*

□

2.3. **Module categories over fusion categories.** Let $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, \mathbf{1}, \alpha)$ be a fusion category, where $\mathbf{1}$ is the unit object and α is the associativity constraint. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\alpha_{X,\mathbf{1},Y} = \alpha_{X,Y,\mathbf{1}} = \alpha_{\mathbf{1},X,Y} = \mathrm{id}_{X\otimes Y}$ for all $X, Y \in C$.

A left $\mathcal{C}$*-module category* (see [17]) is a category $\mathcal{M}$ together with a bifunctor $\otimes : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ and natural isomorphisms $m_{X,Y\,M} : (X \otimes Y) \otimes M \to X \otimes (Y \otimes M)$, for all $M \in \mathcal{M},\ X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, such that the following two equations hold for all $M \in \mathcal{M},\ X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{C}$:

$$(\alpha_{X,Y,Z} \otimes M) m_{X,Y\otimes Z,M}(X \otimes m_{Y,Z,M}) = m_{X\otimes Y,Z,M} m_{X,Y,Z\otimes M}, \tag{1}$$

$$\mathbf{1} \otimes M = M. \tag{2}$$

For two left $\mathcal{C}$-modules categories $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$, a $\mathcal{C}$-module functor $(F, \phi) : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N}$ consists of a functor $F : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N}$ and natural isomorphisms

$$\phi_{X,M} : F(X \otimes M) \to X \otimes F(M),$$

such that

$$(X \otimes \phi_{Y,M})\phi_{X,Y\otimes M} F(m_{X,Y,M}) = m_{X,Y,F(M)}\phi_{X\otimes Y,M} \tag{3}$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{C},\ M \in M$.

A $\mathcal{C}$-linear natural transformation between $\mathcal{C}$-module functors $(F, \phi), (F', \phi') : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N}$, is a k-linear natural transformation $\sigma : F \to F'$ such that

$$\phi'_{X,M}\sigma_{X\otimes M} = (X \otimes \sigma_M)\phi_{X,M},$$

for all $X \in \mathcal{C}, M \in \mathcal{M}$.

We shall denote the category of $\mathcal{C}$-module functors and $\mathcal{C}$-linear natural transformations between $\mathcal{C}$-modules categories $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$ by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N})$.

Two $\mathcal{C}$-module categories $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mathcal{M}_2$ are *equivalent* if there exists a module functor from $\mathcal{M}_1$ to $\mathcal{M}_2$ which is an equivalence of categories.

For two $\mathcal{C}$-module categories $\mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mathcal{M}_2$ their *direct sum* is the category $\mathcal{M}_1 \oplus \mathcal{M}_2$ with the obvious module category structure. A module category is *indecomposable* if it is not equivalent to a direct sum of two nontrivial module categories. It was shown in [17] that $\mathcal{C}$-module categories are completely reducible, *i.e.*, given a $\mathcal{C}$-module subcategory $\mathcal{N}$ of a $\mathcal{C}$-module category $\mathcal{M}$ there is a unique $\mathcal{C}$-module subcategory $\mathcal{N}'$ of $\mathcal{M}$ such that

$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{N}'$. Consequently, any $\mathcal{C}$-module category $\mathcal{M}$ has a unique, up to a permutation of summands, decomposition $\mathcal{M} = \oplus_{x \in S} \mathcal{M}_x$ into a direct sum of indecomposable $\mathcal{C}$-module categories.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a right module category over $\mathcal{C}$. The *dual category* of $\mathcal{C}$ with respect to $\mathcal{M}$ is the category $\mathcal{C}^*_{\mathcal{M}} := \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M})$ whose objects are $\mathcal{C}$-module functors from $\mathcal{M}$ to itself, and morphisms are natural module transformations. The category $\mathcal{C}^*_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a multi-fusion category with tensor product being composition of module functors. It is known that if $\mathcal{M}$ is an indecomposable module category over $\mathcal{C}$, then $\mathcal{C}^*_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a fusion category [4].

2.4. **Algebras in fusion categories.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a (strict) fusion category. An algebra (A, ∇, η) in $\mathcal{C}$ consists of an object A and morphisms $\nabla : A \otimes A \to A$, $\eta : \mathbf{1} \to A$ such that

$$\nabla(\nabla \otimes \mathrm{id}_A) = \nabla(\mathrm{id}_A \otimes \nabla), \qquad \nabla(\eta \otimes \mathrm{id}_A) = \nabla(\mathrm{id}_A \otimes \eta) = \mathrm{id}_A.$$

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a left $\mathcal{C}$-module category. A left A-module (M, λ) in $\mathcal{M}$ over an algebra A in $\mathcal{C}$ is an object M in $\mathcal{M}$ with a morphism $\lambda : A \otimes M \to M$ in $\mathcal{M}$ which is associative in the sense of

$$\lambda(\nabla \otimes \mathrm{id}_M) = \lambda(\mathrm{id}_A \otimes \lambda) m_{A,A,M} : (A \otimes A) \otimes M \to M,$$

and satisfies $\lambda(\eta \otimes \mathrm{id}_M) = \mathrm{id}_M$. Morphisms are defined in the obvious way, and the category of A-modules in $\mathcal{M}$ is denoted by ${}_A\mathcal{M}$.

In the same way we define the categories $\mathcal{N}_A$ of right A-modules in $\mathcal{N}$, for a right $\mathcal{C}$-module, and the category ${}_A\mathcal{C}_A$ of A-bimodules in $\mathcal{C}$.

If A is an algebra in $\mathcal{C}$, the category $\mathcal{C}_A$ is a left $\mathcal{C}$-module category with action given by $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}_A \to \mathcal{C}_A$, $(X, (M, \rho)) \mapsto (X \otimes M, \mathrm{id}_X \otimes \rho)$.

An algebra $A \in \mathcal{C}$ in a fusion category is called a *semisimple algebra* if the category $\mathcal{C}_A$ is semisimple. If A is semisimple then ${}_A\mathcal{C}$ and ${}_A\mathcal{C}_A$ are semisimple categories.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a fusion category, A a semisimple algebra in $\mathcal{C}$, $M \in \mathcal{C}_A$, and $N \in_A \mathcal{M}$, where $\mathcal{M}$ is a left $\mathcal{C}$-module category. The tensor product $M \otimes_A N \in \mathcal{M}$ is defined as the coequalizer of

$$\begin{tikzcd}(M \otimes A) \otimes N \arrow[r, shift left, "(\mathrm{id} \otimes \lambda_N) m_{M,A,N}"] \arrow[r, shift right, "\lambda_M \otimes \mathrm{id}"'] & M \otimes N \arrow[r] & M \otimes_A N\end{tikzcd}$$

A semisimple algebra A is called indecomposable if $\mathcal{C}_A$ is an indecomposable left $\mathcal{C}$-module category. Under this condition, $({}_A\mathcal{C}_A, \otimes_A, A)$ is a fusion category, see [4].

2.5. **Internal Hom and Morita theory.** An important technical tool in the study of module categories is the notion of internal Hom. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a module category over $\mathcal{C}$ and $M_1, M_2 \in \mathcal{M}$. Consider the functor $\mathrm{Hom}(- \otimes M_1, M_2)$ from the category $\mathcal{C}$ to the category of vector spaces. This functor is exact and thus is representable. The internal Hom $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(M_1, M_2)$ is an object of $\mathcal{C}$ representing the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(- \otimes M_1, M_2)$. Given a non-zero object $M \in \mathcal{M}$, the internal Hom, $A = \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(M, M) \in \mathcal{C}$ has a natural algebra structure, such that the left $\mathcal{C}$-module category of right A-modules in $\mathcal{C}$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{M}$ as left $\mathcal{C}$-module categories, [17, Theorem 1].

We recall a generalization of a theorem of Watts [23], see [19, Theorem 3.1].

Theorem 2.3. *Let R and S be semisimple algebras in a fusion category $\mathcal{C}$. The functor*

$$\mathcal{T} : \ {}_R\mathcal{C}_S \ni M \mapsto (-) \otimes_R M \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}_R, \mathcal{C}_S)$$

is a category equivalence. Its quasi-inverse equivalence maps a functor $F : \mathcal{C}_R \to \ \mathcal{C}_S$ to $\mathcal{T}^{-1}(F) := F(R)$, with the left R-module structure

$$R \otimes F(R) = F(R \otimes R) \xrightarrow{F(\nabla)} F(R)$$

□

Definition 2.4. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a fusion category, and $\mathcal{M}$ be a left $\mathcal{C}$-module category. We shall say that an algebra A in $\mathcal{C}$ represents $\mathcal{M}$ if $\mathcal{C}_A$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{M}$ as left $\mathcal{C}$-module categories.

If A, B are algebras in $\mathcal{C}$, and $F : \mathcal{C}_A \to \mathcal{C}_B$ is a $\mathcal{C}$-module functor, we shall say that $\mathcal{M} \in_A \mathcal{C}_B$ represents F if F is equivalent to $(-) \otimes_A M$ as $\mathcal{C}$-module functors.

Two algebras A, B in $\mathcal{C}$ are Morita equivalent if $\mathcal{C}_A \cong \mathcal{C}_B$ as $\mathcal{C}$-module categories.

Corollary 2.5. *Let S and R be semisimple algebras in $\mathcal{C}$, then they are Morita equivalent if and only if there exists an (S, R)-bimodule M and an (R, S)-bimodule N, such that $M \otimes_B N \cong S$ as S-bimodule and $N \otimes_A M \cong R$ as R-bimodule.*

□

2.6. **Tensor products of module categories.** Recall that a monoidal category is called strict if the associativity constraint is the identity. A module category $(\mathcal{M}, \mu)$ over a strict tensor category is called a *strict module category* if the constraint μ is the identity. By [8, Proposition 2.2] we may assume that every fusion category and every module category is strict.

Let $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}$ be fusion categories. By definition, a $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$-bimodule category is a module category over $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{rev}}$, where $\mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{rev}}$ is the category $\mathcal{D}$ with reversed tensor product, and $\boxtimes$ is the Deligne tensor product of abelian categories, see [2].

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category and $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$ left and right (strict) $\mathcal{C}$-module categories, respectively.

Definition 2.6. [6, Definition 3.1] Let $F : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{A}$ be a bifunctor exact in every argument. We say that F is $\mathcal{C}$-balanced if there is a natural family of isomorphisms

$$b_{M,X,N} : F(M \otimes X, N) \to F(M, X \otimes N),$$

such that

$$b_{M,X\otimes Y,N} = b_{M,X,Y\otimes N} \circ b_{M\otimes X,Y,N},$$

for all $M \in \mathcal{M}, N \in \mathcal{N}, X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$.

Definition 2.7. [6, Definition 3.3] A tensor product of a right $\mathcal{C}$-module category $\mathcal{M}$ and a left $\mathcal{C}$-module category $\mathcal{N}$ is an abelian category $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{N}$ together with a $\mathcal{C}$-balanced functor

$$B_{\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N}} : \mathcal{M} \times N \to M \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{N}$$

inducing, for every abelian category $\mathcal{A}$, an equivalence between the category of $\mathcal{C}$-balanced functors from $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}$ to $\mathcal{A}$ and the category of functors from $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{N}$ to $\mathcal{A}$:

$$\mathcal{F}_{bal}(\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{A}) \cong \mathcal{F}(M \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{A}).$$

Remark 2.8.
1. The existence of the tensor product for module categories over fusion categories was proved in [6].
2. If the modules categories are semisimple then the tensor product is a semisimple category, see [6].

Given a right $\mathcal{C}$-module functor $F : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}'$ and a left $\mathcal{C}$-module functor $G : \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}'$ note that $B_{\mathcal{M}',\mathcal{N}'}(F \boxtimes G) : \mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{M}' \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{N}'$ is $\mathcal{C}$-balanced. Thus the universality of B implies the existence of a unique right functor $F \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} G := \overline{B_{\mathcal{M}',\mathcal{N}'}(F \boxtimes G)}$ making the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{M} \boxtimes \mathcal{N} \arrow[r, "F\boxtimes G"] \arrow[d, "B_{\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N}}"'] & \mathcal{M}' \boxtimes \mathcal{N}' \arrow[d, "B_{\mathcal{M}',\mathcal{N}'}"] \\
\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{N} \arrow[r, "F\boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} G"] & \mathcal{M}' \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{N}'
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutative. The bihomorphism $\boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}}$ is functorial in module functors, *i.e.*, $(F' \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} E')(F \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} E) = F'F \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} E'E$.

Remarks 2.9.
1. If $\mathcal{M}$ is a $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$-bimodule category and $\mathcal{N}$ is an $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D})$-bimodule category, then $\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{N}$ is a $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$-bimodule category and $B_{M,N}$ is a $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$-bimodule functor, see [11, Proposition 3.13].
2. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$-bimodule category. The $\mathcal{C}$-module action in $\mathcal{M}$ is balanced. Let $r_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ denote the unique functor factoring through $B_{\mathcal{M},\mathcal{D}}$. In [11, Proposition 3.15] it was proved that $r_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$-module equivalence.
3. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a right $\mathcal{C}$-module category, $\mathcal{N}$ a $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$-bimodule category, and $\mathcal{K}$ a left $\mathcal{D}$-module category. Then by [11, Proposition 3.15], there is a canonical equivalence $(\mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{N}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{K} \cong \mathcal{M} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} (\mathcal{N} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}\mathcal{K})$ of bimodule categories. Hence the notation $M \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{N} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{K}$ will yield no ambiguity.

2.7. **Graded fusion categories.** Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a fusion category and let G be a finite group. We say that $\mathcal{C}$ is graded by G if $\mathcal{C} = \oplus_{\sigma\in G}\mathcal{C}_\sigma$, and for any $\sigma, \tau \in G$, one has $\otimes : \mathcal{C}_\sigma \times \mathcal{C}_\tau \to \mathcal{C}_{\sigma\tau}$.

The fusion subcategory $\mathcal{C}_e$ corresponding to the neutral element $e \in G$ is called the trivial component of the G-graded category $\mathcal{C}$. A grading is faithful if $\mathcal{C}_\sigma \neq 0$ for all $\sigma \in G$, in this paper we shall consider only faithful gradings.

2.8. **Graded module categories.**

Definition 2.10. Let $\mathcal{C} = \oplus_{\sigma\in G}\mathcal{C}_\sigma$ be a graded fusion category and let X be a left G-set. A *left X-graded $\mathcal{C}$-module category* is a left $\mathcal{C}$-module category $\mathcal{M}$ endowed with a decomposition

$$\mathcal{M} = \oplus_{x\in X}\mathcal{M}_x,$$

into a direct sum of full abelian subcategories, such that for all $\sigma \in G$, $x \in X$, the bifunctor $\otimes$ maps $\mathcal{C}_\sigma \times \mathcal{M}_x$ to $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma x}$.

An *X-graded $\mathcal{C}$-module functor* $F : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N}$ is a $\mathcal{C}$-module functor such that $F(\mathcal{M}_x)$ is mapped to $\mathcal{N}_x$, for all $x \in X$.

3. INDUCED MODULE CATEGORIES

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a fusion category and let $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{C}$ be a fusion subcategory. Given a left $\mathcal{D}$-module category $\mathcal{M}$ and an algebra A in $\mathcal{D}$, such that $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{D}_A$ as left $\mathcal{D}$-module categories, we define the *induced module category* from $\mathcal{D}$ to $\mathcal{C}$, denoted by $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})$, as the $\mathcal{C}$-module category $\mathcal{C}_A$.

If $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_A$ and $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{D}_B$ are $\mathcal{D}$-module categories and $F : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N}$ is a $\mathcal{D}$-module functor represented by a (B, A)-bimodule M, then M defines a $\mathcal{C}$-module functor $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{C}}(F) = (-) \otimes_A M : \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}) \to \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{N})$, called the *induced module functor*.

Remark 3.1.
1. By Corollary 2.5, the equivalence class of $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathcal{D}}^{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})$ as $\mathcal{C}$-module category does not depend on the algebra A.
2. If $\mathcal{C}'' \subset \mathcal{C}' \subset \mathcal{C}$ are fusion categories and $\mathcal{M}$ is a $\mathcal{C}''$-module category then $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathcal{C}''}^{\mathcal{C}'}(\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathcal{C}'}^{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})) = \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathcal{C}''}^{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})$. This is analogous for functor and natural transformations of module categories.

Given a G-graded fusion category $\mathcal{C}$, and a subgroup $H \subset G$, we shall denote by $\mathcal{C}_H$ the fusion subcategory $\oplus_{h\in H}\mathcal{C}_h$.

Proposition 3.2. *For each subgroup $H \subset G$, induction defines a 2-functor from the 2-category of $\mathcal{C}_H$-module categories to the 2-category of $\mathcal{C}$-module categories graded over G/H.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $\mathcal{C}_H$-module category, and suppose that $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{C}_H)_A$ for some algebra $A \in \mathcal{C}_H$. If $M \in \mathcal{C}_A$, then $M = \bigoplus_{gH\in G/G} M_{gH}$, where $M_{gH} \in \mathcal{C}_{gH}$. Thus $M_{gH} \in \mathcal{C}_A$, so $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathcal{C}_H}^{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{C}_A$ is graded by G/H.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be $\mathcal{C}_H$-module categories such that $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{C}_H)_A$ and $\mathcal{N} = (\mathcal{C}_H)_B$ for algebras $A, B \in \mathcal{C}_H$. Every $\mathcal{C}_H$-module functor from $\mathcal{M}$ to $\mathcal{N}$ is equivalent to the functor $(-) \otimes_A M$ for some (A-B)-bimodule in $\mathcal{C}_H$ (see Theorem 2.3). Then the induced functor from $\mathcal{C}_A$ to $\mathcal{C}_B$ is $(-) \otimes_A M$, and it is G/H-graded. □

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{C} = \oplus_{\sigma \in G} \mathcal{C}_\sigma$ be a G-graded fusion category. For each $H \subset G$, the induction of module categories and module functor defines a 2-equivalence from the 2-category of module categories over $\mathcal{C}_H$ and $\mathcal{C}$-module categories G/H-graded.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $\mathcal{C}$-module category G/H-graded. Then $\mathcal{M}_H$ is a $\mathcal{C}_H$-module category. For each $0 \neq M \in \mathcal{M}_H$, the internal Hom, $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(M, M) \in \mathcal{C}_H$ with respect to the module category $\mathcal{M}_x$ is also the internal Hom in $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{M}$. In fact, if $X \in \mathcal{C}_\sigma$, and $\sigma \notin H$, then $X \otimes M \notin \mathcal{M}_x$, so

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{M}}(X \otimes M, M) = 0 = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(M, M)).$$

Then $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{C}_{\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(M,M)} = \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathcal{C}_H}^{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M}_x)$.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be $\mathcal{C}$-module categories graded over G/H such that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{C}_A$ and $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{C}_B$ for algebras $A, B \in \mathcal{C}_H$. Then by Theorem 2.3 every $\mathcal{C}$-module functor from $\mathcal{M}$ to $\mathcal{N}$ is equivalent to the functor $(-) \otimes_A M$ for some (A-B)-bimodule in $\mathcal{C}$. If M defines a G/H-module functor then $M \in \mathcal{C}_H$, so by definition is the induced of a $\mathcal{C}_H$-module functor. □

Remark 3.4. By Theorem 3.3 the 2-category of module categories over $\mathcal{C}_e$ and the 2-category of G-graded $\mathcal{C}$-module categories are 2-equivalent.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a G-graded fusion category. If $\mathcal{M}$ is a left $\mathcal{C}_H$-module category then $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{M} \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathcal{C}_H}^{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})$ as $\mathcal{C}$-module categories.*

Proof. The $\mathcal{C}$-module category $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{M}$ is G/H-graded where $(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{M})_{gH} = (\mathcal{C}_{gH}) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{M}$. In particular $(\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{M})_H = \mathcal{C}_H \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{M}$ as $\mathcal{C}_H$-module categories, then by Theorem 3.3, $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{M} \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathcal{C}_H}^{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})$. □

Remark 3.6. Proposition 3.5 implies that $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathcal{C}_H}^{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})$ is a semisimple $\mathcal{C}$-module category. In fact, by [6, Proposition 3.5], the category $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{M}$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_H}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathcal{M})$, and by [4, Theorem 2.16], the category $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_H}(\mathcal{C}^{op}, \mathcal{M})$ is semisimple.

Let $\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{gH \in G/H} \mathcal{M}_{gH}$ be a G/H-graded $\mathcal{C}$-module category. We define the canonical functor $\overline{\mu} : \mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{M}_H \to \mathcal{M}, X \boxtimes M \mapsto X \otimes M$. It is clear that $\overline{\mu}$ is a $\mathcal{C}_H$-balanced functor, thus $\overline{\mu}$ defines a $\mathcal{C}$-module functor $\mu : \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{M}_H \to \mathcal{M}$.

Proposition 3.7. *The functor $\mu : \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{M}_H \to \mathcal{M}$ is a G/H-graded equivalence of $\mathcal{C}$-module categories. In particular, each $\mu_{gH} : \mathcal{C}_{gH} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{M}_H \to \mathcal{M}_{gH}$ is an equivalence of left $\mathcal{C}_H$-module categories.*

Proof. The functor μ is a G/H-graded functor, and $\mu_H = r_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{C}_H \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ is an equivalence of $\mathcal{C}_H$-module categories (see part 2 of Remark 2.9). Thus by Theorem 3.3, $\mu \cong \mathrm{Ind}(\mu_H)$ as $\mathcal{C}$-module functors, and it is an equivalence. □

The following result appears in [6, Theorem 6.1] we provide an alternate proof using our results.

Corollary 3.8. *For every $\sigma, \tau \in G$, the canonical functor*

$$\mu_{\sigma,\tau} : \mathcal{C}_\sigma \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} \mathcal{C}_\tau \to \mathcal{C}_{\sigma\tau},$$

is an equivalence of $\mathcal{C}_e$-bimodule categories.

Proof. Let us consider the graded $\mathcal{C}$-module category $\mathcal{C}(\tau)$, where $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(\tau)$ as $\mathcal{C}$-module categories, but with grading $(\mathcal{C}(\tau))_\sigma = \mathcal{C}_{\tau\sigma}$, for $\tau \in G$.

Since $\mathcal{C}(\tau)_e = \mathcal{C}_\tau$, by Proposition 3.7, the canonical functor $\mu_\sigma : \mathcal{C}_\sigma \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} \mathcal{C}_\tau \to \mathcal{C}(\tau)_\sigma = \mathcal{C}_{\tau\sigma}$ is a $\mathcal{C}_e$-bimodule category equivalence. But by definition $\mu_\sigma = \mu_{\sigma,\tau}$, so the proof is finished. □

4. Clifford theory for graded fusion categories

In this section we shall denote by $\mathcal{C}$ a fusion category graded by a finite group G.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $\mathcal{C}$-module category, and let $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ be a full abelian subcategory. We shall denote by $\mathcal{C}_\sigma \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N}$ the full abelian subcategory given by $Ob(\mathcal{C} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N}) = \{\text{subquotients of } V \otimes N : V \in \mathcal{C}_\sigma, N \in N\}$. (Recall that a subquotient object is a subobject of a quotient object.)

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $\mathcal{C}$-module category and let $\mathcal{N}$ be a $\mathcal{C}_e$-submodule category of $\mathcal{M}$. The bifunctor $\otimes$ induces a canonical $\mathcal{C}_e$-module functor $\mu_\sigma : \mathcal{C}_\sigma \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{C}_\sigma \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N}$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $\mathcal{C}$-module category and let $\mathcal{N}$ be an indecomposable $\mathcal{C}_e$-submodule category of $\mathcal{M}$. Then the canonical $\mathcal{C}_e$-module functor $\mu_\sigma : \mathcal{C}_\sigma \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{C}_\sigma \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N}$, is an equivalence of $\mathcal{C}_e$-module categories, for all $\sigma \in G$.*

Proof. Define a G-graded $\mathcal{C}$-module category by $gr - \mathcal{N} = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \mathcal{C}_\sigma \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N}$, with action

$$\begin{aligned} \otimes : \mathcal{C}_\sigma \times \mathcal{C}_h \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N} &\to \mathcal{C}_{\sigma h} \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N} \\ V_\sigma \times T &\mapsto V_\sigma \otimes T. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{N}$ is indecomposable, $\mathcal{C}_e \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}$ as a $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category, so by Proposition 3.7 the canonical functor $\mu : \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} \mathcal{N} \to gr - \mathcal{N}$ is a category equivalence of G-graded $\mathcal{C}$-module categories and the restriction $\mu_\sigma : \mathcal{C}_\sigma \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{C}_\sigma \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N}$ is a $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category equivalence. □

Given a $\mathcal{C}$-module category $\mathcal{M}$, we shall denote by $\Omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}(M)$ the set of equivalence classes of indecomposable $\mathcal{C}_e$-submodule categories of $\mathcal{M}$.

Corollary 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a $\mathcal{C}$-module category. The group G acts on $\Omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{M})$ by*

$$\begin{aligned} G \times \Omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{M}) &\to \Omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{M}) \\ (g, [\mathcal{N}]) &\mapsto [\mathcal{C}_\sigma \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} \mathcal{N}] \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be an indecomposable $\mathcal{C}_e$-submodule category of $\mathcal{M}$. By Proposition 4.1 the functor

$$\mu_\sigma : \mathcal{C}_\sigma \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{C}_\sigma \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N}$$

is a $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category equivalence, so $\mathcal{C}_\sigma \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} \mathcal{N}$ is equivalent to a $\mathcal{C}_e$-submodule category of $\mathcal{M}$. ☐

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an abelian category and let $\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}'$ be full abelian subcategories of $\mathcal{M}$, we shall denote by $\mathcal{N} + \mathcal{N}'$ the full abelian subcategory of $\mathcal{M}$ where $Ob(\mathcal{N}+\mathcal{N}') = \{\text{subquotients of } N \oplus N' : N \in \mathcal{N}, N' \in \mathcal{N}'\}$. It will be called the sum category of $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{N}'$.

Now, we are ready to prove our first main result:

Proof of Theorem 1.1. (1) Let $\mathcal{N}$ be an indecomposable $\mathcal{C}_e$-submodule category of $\mathcal{M}$, the canonical functor

$$\mu : \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{M}$$

is a $\mathcal{C}$-module functor and $\mu = \oplus_{\sigma \in G} \mu_\sigma$, where $\mu_\sigma = \mu|_{\mathcal{C}_\sigma \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} \mathcal{N}}$. By Proposition 4.1 each μ_σ is a $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category equivalence with $\mathcal{C}_\sigma \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N}$.

Since $\mathcal{M}$ is indecomposable, every object $M \in \mathcal{M}$ is isomorphic to some subquotient of $\mu(X)$ for some object $X \in \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} \mathcal{N}$. Then $\mathcal{M} = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \mathcal{C}_\sigma \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N}$, and each $\mathcal{C}_\sigma \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N}$ is an indecomposable $\mathcal{C}_e$-submodule category.

Let S, S' be indecomposable $\mathcal{C}_e$-submodule categories of $\mathcal{M}$. Then there exist $\sigma, \tau \in G$ such that $\mathcal{C}_\sigma \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} \mathcal{N} \cong S$, $\mathcal{C}_\tau \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} \mathcal{N} \cong S'$, and by Corollary 3.8, $S' \cong \mathcal{C}_{\tau\sigma^{-1}} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} S$. So the action is transitive.

(2) Let $H = \mathrm{st}([\mathcal{N}])$ be the stabilizer subgroup of $[\mathcal{N}] \in \Omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{M})$ and

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{N}} = \sum_{h \in H} \mathcal{C}_h \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N}.$$

Since H acts transitively on $\Omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{N}})$, the $\mathcal{C}_H$-module category $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{N}}$ is indecomposable. Let $\Sigma = \{e, \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n\}$ be a set of representatives of the cosets of G modulo H. The map $\phi : G/H \to \Omega_{\mathcal{C}_H}(\mathcal{M})$, $\phi(\sigma H) = [\mathcal{C}_\sigma \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{N}}]$ is an isomorphism of G-sets. Then $\mathcal{M}$ has a structure of G/H-graded $\mathcal{C}$-module category, where $\mathcal{M} = \oplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \mathcal{C}_\sigma \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{N}}$. By Theorem 3.3, $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{N}}$ as $\mathcal{C}$-module categories. ☐

Definition 4.3. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a G-graded fusion category. If $(\mathcal{M}, \otimes)$ is a $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category, then a $\mathcal{C}$-extension of $\mathcal{M}$ is a $\mathcal{C}$-module category $(\mathcal{M}, \odot)$ such that $(\mathcal{M}, \otimes)$ is obtained by restriction to $\mathcal{C}_e$.

Corollary 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an indecomposable $\mathcal{C}$-category, and $\mathcal{N}$ an indecomposable $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category. Then there exists a subgroup $S \subset G$, and a $\mathcal{C}_S$-extension $(\mathcal{N}, \odot)$ of $\mathcal{N}$, such that $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_S} \mathcal{N}$ as $\mathcal{C}$-module categories.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ be an indecomposable $\mathcal{C}_e$-submodule category. By the proof of part (1) of Theorem 1.1, we have that $\mathcal{M} = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \mathcal{C}_\sigma \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N}$, where each $\mathcal{C}_\sigma \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N}$ is an indecomposable $\mathcal{C}_e$-module subcategory of $\mathcal{M}$. Let $X = G/\sim$, where $\sigma \sim \tau$ if and only if $\mathcal{C}_\sigma \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{C}_\tau \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N}$ for $\sigma, \tau \in G$. Then $\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{x \in X} \mathcal{C}_x$ as a direct sum of $\mathcal{C}_e$-module categories, and $\mathcal{M}$ is an X-graded $\mathcal{C}$-module category, where X has the following G-action:

$$\sigma y = x \text{ if and only if } \mathcal{C}_\sigma \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{C}_y \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{C}_x \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N},$$

for $\sigma \in G, x, y \in X$.

Again by part (1) of Theorem 1.1, X is a transitive G-set. Then by Theorem 3.3, $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_S \mathcal{N}$ as $\mathcal{C}$-module categories, where $S = \{\sigma \in G | \mathcal{C}_\sigma \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}\}$. □

Remark 4.5. Following with the notations in the proof of Corollary 4.4, if $\mathcal{M}$ is an indecomposable $\mathcal{C}$-module category, the set of indecomposable $\mathcal{C}_e$-module subcategories of $\mathcal{M}$ is a transitive G-set isomorphic to G/S.

Proposition 4.6. *Let $H, H' \subset G$ be subgroups, and $(\mathcal{N}, \odot)$, $(\mathcal{N}', \odot')$ be a $\mathcal{C}_H$-extension and a $\mathcal{C}_{H'}$-extension of the indecomposable $\mathcal{C}_e$-module categories $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{N}'$, respectively. Then $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_{H'}} \mathcal{N}' \cong \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{N}$ if and only if there exists $\sigma \in G$ such that $H = \sigma H' \sigma^{-1}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\sigma H'} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_{H'}} \mathcal{N}' \cong \mathcal{N}$ as $\mathcal{C}_H$-module categories.*

Proof. Let $F : \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_{H'}} \mathcal{N}' \to \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{N}$ be an equivalence of $\mathcal{C}$-module categories. The functor F maps indecomposable $\mathcal{C}_e$-module subcategories of $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_{H'}} \mathcal{N}'$ to indecomposable $\mathcal{C}_e$-module subcategories of $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{N}$, and $F(X \otimes V) \cong X \otimes F(V)$ for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$, $X \in \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_{H'}} \mathcal{N}'$, thus (see Remark 4.5) F defines a G-set isomorphism from G/H to G/H'. Recall that G/H and G/H' are isomorphic if and only if there exists $\sigma \in G$ such that $H = \sigma H' \sigma^{-1}$, and the G-isomorphism is defined by $\sigma H' \mapsto H$. Then, by restriction, the functor F defines an equivalence of $\mathcal{C}_H$-module categories $F : \mathcal{C}_{\sigma H'} \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_{H'}} \mathcal{N}' \to \mathcal{C}_H \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_H} \mathcal{N} \cong \mathcal{N}$. □

5. Invariant and G-graded module categories

In this section we shall denote by $\mathcal{C}$ a G-graded fusion category.

Definition 5.1. A $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category $\mathcal{M}$ is called G-invariant if $\mathcal{C}_\sigma \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} \mathcal{M}$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{M}$ as $\mathcal{C}_e$-module categories, for all $\sigma \in G$.

By Corollary 4.4, every $\mathcal{C}$-module category is equivalent to an induced module category of an S-invariant $\mathcal{C}_S$-module category for some subgroup $S \subset G$.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a G-graded tensor category. For a G-graded $\mathcal{C}$-module category $\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \mathcal{M}_\sigma$ and $\sigma \in G$, we define a new G-graded $\mathcal{C}$-module category $\mathcal{M}(\sigma)$, as the $\mathcal{C}$-module category $\mathcal{M}$ with $\mathcal{M}(\sigma)_\tau = \mathcal{M}_{\tau\sigma}$ for all $\tau \in G$.

A $\mathcal{C}$-module functor $F : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N}$ is called a graded $\mathcal{C}$-module functor of degree σ ($\sigma \in G$), if $F(M_x) \in \mathcal{N}_{x\sigma}$ for all $x \in G, M_x \in \mathcal{M}_x$. Graded module functors of degree σ build a full abelian subcategory $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N})_\sigma$ of $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N})$. We also have following equalities:

$$\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N})_e = \mathcal{F}^{gr}_\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N}),$$
$$\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N})_\sigma = \mathcal{F}^{gr}_\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N}(\sigma)) = \mathcal{F}^{gr}_\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M}(\sigma^{-1}),\mathcal{N}).$$

Note that $\mathcal{C}^*_\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M}) = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \mathcal{F}_\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M})_\sigma$, and with this grading $\mathcal{C}^*_\mathcal{M}$ is a G^{op}-graded (multi)-fusion category.

Definition 5.2. A graded tensor category over a group G will be called a crossed product tensor category if every homogeneous component has at least one multiplicatively invertible object.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a G-graded fusion category. An indecomposable $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category $\mathcal{N}$ is invariant if and only if $\mathcal{C}^*_{\mathcal{C}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e}\mathcal{N}}$ is G^{op}-crossed product fusion category.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.3, if $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are G-graded $\mathcal{C}$-module category, the induction functor defines equivalences

$$\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{M},\mathcal{N})_\sigma \cong \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{M}_e,\mathcal{N}_\sigma) \cong \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{M}_{\sigma^{-1}},\mathcal{N}_e).$$

Thus $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{C}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e}\mathcal{N},\mathcal{C}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e}\mathcal{N})$ is a crossed product if and only if $\mathcal{F}_\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{C}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e}\mathcal{N},\mathcal{C}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e}\mathcal{N})_\sigma \cong \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{N},\mathcal{C}_\sigma\boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e}\mathcal{N})$ has at least one equivalence for all $\sigma \in G$, *i.e.* if $\mathcal{N}$ is G-invertible. ☐

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a fusion category. An indecomposable $\mathcal{C}$-module category is called pointed module category if $\mathcal{C}^*_\mathcal{M}$ is a pointed fusion category. A fusion category is called *group-theoretical* if it admits a pointed $\mathcal{C}$-module category, see [4]. Group-theoretical categories can be explicitly described in terms of finite groups and their cohomology (see [18]).

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.2, which is a generalization of [16, Theorem 3.5]

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be a G-invariant pointed $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category. Then by Proposition 5.3, $\mathcal{C}^*_{\mathcal{C}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e}\mathcal{N}}$ is a G^{op}-crossed product category, where $(\mathcal{C}^*_{\mathcal{C}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e}\mathcal{N}})_e \cong (\mathcal{C}_e)^*_\mathcal{N}$ is a pointed fusion category, so $\mathcal{C}^*_{\mathcal{C}\boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e}\mathcal{N}}$ is pointed.

Conversely, let $\mathcal{M}$ be a pointed $\mathcal{C}$-module category and $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ be a $\mathcal{C}_e$-submodule category. Then by [16, Lemma 2.2] and Proposition 3.7 the stabilizer of $[\mathcal{N}]$ in $\Omega_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{M})$ is G, so $\mathcal{N}$ is G-invariant. Now, the same argument of [16, Theorem 3.5] implies that $\mathcal{N}$ is a pointed $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category. ☐

Remark 5.4. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a G-graded fusion category. By [10, Theorem 3.3] and [10, Remark 2.11], the group G acts on $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_e)$ by braided autoequivalences, and therefore G also acts on the set of Lagrangian subcategories of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_e)$ (see [3, subsection 1.4.1] for the definition of Lagrangian subcategories of a braided fusion category). In [10, Corollary 3.10] the following criterion for a graded fusion category to be group-theoretical was proved: $\mathcal{C}$ is group-theoretical if and only if $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_e)$ contains a G-stable Lagrangian subcategory. As we shall see, the above criterion is equivalent to the criterion Theorem 1.2. Using the bijective correspondence between equivalence classes of Lagrangian subcategory of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_e)$ and pointed $\mathcal{C}_e$-module categories proved in [3, Theorem 4.66], and the description of the action of G on $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_e)$ given in [10, subsection 3.1], it is easy to see that if $\mathcal{N}$ is a pointed $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category and $\mathcal{L}$ is the corresponding Lagrangian subcategory of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_e)$, then for any $\sigma \in G$, the Lagrangian subcategory corresponding to $\mathcal{C}_\sigma \boxtimes_{\mathcal{C}_e} \mathcal{N}$ is $\sigma_*(\mathcal{L})$. Thus, $\mathcal{C}_e$ has a G-invariant $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category if and only if $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}_e)$ has a G-stable Lagrangian subcategory.

Corollary 5.5. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a G-graded fusion category such that $\mathcal{C}_e \cong Vec_A$, where A is an abelian group. Then $\mathcal{C}$ has an invariant module category if and only if $\mathcal{C}$ is group-theoretical.*

Proof. If A is an abelian group, then every indecomposable module category over Vec_A is pointed, see [15, Theorem 3.4]. So, the corollary follows immediately by Theorem 1.2. □

A Tambara-Yamagami category is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded fusion category $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_0 \oplus \mathcal{C}_1$, such that $\mathcal{C}_0$ is pointed and $\mathcal{C}_1$ has only one simple object up to isomorphisms, see [20] for a complete classification.

Remark 5.6. Corollary 5.5 applies to Tambara-Yamagami categories, and their generalizations [12], [13]. In particular, if a fusion category of this type is the category of representations of a Hopf algebra, *i.e.*, if it has a fiber functor, then it is a group-theoretical fusion category (it is well-known for TY categories, [4, Remark 8.48]).

Module categories over group-theoretical fusion categories were classified by Ostrik in [18]. As an application of some of our results, we shall describe indecomposable module categories over a non group-theoretical Tamabara-Yamagami category.

Proposition 5.7. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a non group-theoretical Tambara-Yamagami category, where $\mathcal{C}_0 = Vec_A$ for an abelian group A. Then every indecomposable $\mathcal{C}$-module category is equivalent to $\mathcal{C}_{k_\alpha B}$, where $k_\alpha B \in Vec_A \subset \mathcal{C}$ is a twisted group algebra for $B \subset A$.*

Proof. By Corollary 5.5, a Tambara-Yamagami category is non group-theoretical if and only if it has only non $\mathbb{Z}_2$-invariant $\mathcal{C}_0$-module categories. Let

$\mathcal{M}$ be an indecomposable $\mathcal{C}$-module category, and $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ be an indecomposable $\mathcal{C}_0$-module category. If $\mathcal{N}$ is an indecomposable module category over $\mathcal{C}_0 = \mathrm{Vec}_A$, then by [18], there exists a subgroup $B \subset A$, and $\alpha \in Z^2(B, k^*)$ such that $\mathcal{N} \cong (\mathcal{C}_0)_{k_\alpha B}$ as $\mathcal{C}_0$-module categories.

Since $\mathcal{C}$ has only non $\mathbb{Z}_2$-invariant $\mathcal{C}_0$-module categories, Theorem 1.1 implies that $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathcal{C}_0}^{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{N} = \mathcal{C}_{k_\alpha B}$. □

6. Extending indecomposable module categories

Corollary 4.4 reduces the construction of indecomposable $\mathcal{C}$-module categories over a graded fusion category $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \mathcal{C}_\sigma$, to the construction of $\mathcal{C}_H$-module categories $\mathcal{M}$ such that the restriction to $\mathcal{C}_e$ remains indecomposable, for some subgroup $H \subset G$.

In this section we shall provide a necessary and sufficient condition when an indecomposable $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category can be extended.

6.1. Semi-direct product and equivariant fusion categories.

Let $\underline{\mathrm{Aut}_\otimes(\mathcal{C})}$ be the monoidal category where objects are tensor auto-equivalences of $\mathcal{C}$, arrows are tensor natural isomorphisms, and tensor product is the composition of functors. An action of the group G over a monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$, is a monoidal functor $* : \underline{G} \to \underline{\mathrm{Aut}_\otimes(\mathcal{C})}$.

Given an action $* : \underline{G} \to \underline{\mathrm{Aut}_\otimes(\mathcal{C})}$ of G on $\mathcal{C}$, the semi-direct product fusion category, denoted by $\mathcal{C} \rtimes G$ is defined as follows: As an abelian category $\mathcal{C} \rtimes G = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \mathcal{C}_\sigma$, where $\mathcal{C}_\sigma = \mathcal{C}$ as an abelian category, the tensor product is

$$[X, \sigma] \otimes [Y, \tau] := [X \otimes \sigma_*(Y), \sigma\tau], \quad X, Y \in \mathcal{C}, \quad \sigma, \tau \in G,$$

and the unit object is $[\mathbf{1}, e]$. See [21] for the associativity constraint and a proof of the pentagon identity.

The category $\mathcal{C} \rtimes G$ is G-graded by

$$\mathcal{C} \rtimes G = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} (\mathcal{C} \rtimes G)_\sigma, \quad \text{where} \quad (\mathcal{C} \rtimes G)_\sigma = \mathcal{C}_\sigma,$$

and the objects $[\mathbf{1}, \sigma] \in (\mathcal{C} \rtimes G)_\sigma$ are invertible, with inverse $[\mathbf{1}, \sigma^{-1}] \in (\mathcal{C} \rtimes G)_{\sigma^{-1}}$.

Another useful construction of a fusion category starting from a G-action over a fusion category $\mathcal{C}$ is the G-equivariantization of $\mathcal{C}$, denoted by $\mathcal{C}^G$, see [3]. Objects of this category are objects X of $\mathcal{C}$ equipped with an isomorphism $u_\sigma : \sigma_*(X) \to X$ for all $\sigma \in G$, such that

$$u_{\sigma\tau} \circ \gamma_{\sigma,\tau} = u_\sigma \circ \sigma_*(u_\tau),$$

where $\gamma_{\sigma,\tau} : \sigma_*(\tau_*(X)) \to (\sigma\tau)_*(X)$ is the natural isomorphism associated to the action. Morphisms and tensor product of equivariant objects are defined in an obvious way.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a G-graded fusion category, then:*

1. *$\mathcal{C}$ is equivalent to a semi-direct product fusion category over G if and only if there is a G-graded tensor functor $Vec_G \to \mathcal{C}$.*

(2) There exists a correspondence between G-actions over $\mathcal{C}_e$, such that the associated semidirect product is tensor equivalent to $\mathcal{C}$, and G-graded tensor functors $Vec_G \to \mathcal{C}$.

Proof. It follows from [8, Section 3]. ☐

6.2. **Extending indecomposable module categories.** Recall, if $(\mathcal{M}, \otimes)$ is a $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category, then an extension of $\mathcal{M}$ is a $\mathcal{C}$-module category $(\mathcal{M}, \odot)$ such that $(\mathcal{M}, \otimes)$ is obtained by restriction to $\mathcal{C}_e$. We shall say that two $\mathcal{C}$-extension of a $\mathcal{C}_e$-module categories are equivalent if they are equivalent as $\mathcal{C}$-module categories.

Each graded functor (F, μ) :$\mathrm{Vec}_G \to \mathcal{C}$ defines a $\mathcal{C}$-extension $(\mathcal{C}_e, \odot^F, m^F)$ of the $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category $(\mathcal{C}_e, \otimes)$ in the following way: Let $F(k_\sigma) = \mathbf{1}_\sigma$, and $\mu_{\sigma,h} : \mathbf{1}_{\sigma h} \to \mathbf{1}_\sigma \otimes \mathbf{1}_h$, then we define $(\mathcal{C}_e, \odot^F, m^F)$ by

$$V_\sigma \odot^F X_e = V_\sigma \otimes X_e \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\sigma^{-1}}, \quad m^F_{V_\sigma, V_\tau, X_e} = \mathrm{id}_{V_\sigma \otimes V_\tau \otimes X_e} \otimes \gamma_{k_{\tau^{-1}}, k_{\sigma^{-1}}}$$

for all $V_\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_\sigma, V_\tau \in \mathcal{C}_\tau, X_e \in \mathcal{C}_e$, and $\sigma, \tau \in G$.

Definition 6.2. We shall say that two graded functors $(F, \gamma), (F', \gamma')$: $\mathrm{Vec}_G \to \mathcal{C}$ are conjugate if there is a multiplicatively invertible object $U \in \mathcal{C}_e$ and a family of isomorphisms $\Theta_\sigma : F(k_\sigma) \otimes U \to U \otimes F'(k_\sigma)$ for all $\sigma \in G$, such that the diagram

(4)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(k_{\sigma\tau}) \otimes U \arrow[rr, "\Theta_{\sigma\tau}"] \arrow[d, "\gamma_{k_\sigma, k_\tau} \otimes \mathrm{id}_U"'] & & U \otimes F'(k_{\sigma\tau}) \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}_U \otimes \gamma'_{k_\sigma, k_\tau}"] \\
F(k_\sigma) \otimes F(k_\tau) \otimes U \arrow[dr, "\mathrm{id}_{F(k_\sigma)} \otimes \Theta_\tau"'] & & U \otimes F'(K_\sigma) \otimes F'(k_\tau) \\
& F(k_\sigma) \otimes U \otimes F'(k_\tau) \arrow[ur, "\Theta_\sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}_{F'(k_\tau)}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes for all $\sigma, \tau \in G$.

If $(F, \gamma), (F', \gamma')$: $\mathrm{Vec}_G \to \mathcal{C}$ are conjugate graded functors, then the $\mathcal{C}$-extensions associated are equivalent. In fact, the functor $\mathcal{C}_e \to \mathcal{C}_e, X_e \mapsto X_e \otimes U$, with the natural isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{id}_{V_\sigma \otimes X_e} \otimes \Theta_{\sigma^{-1}} : V_\sigma \otimes X_e \otimes F(k_{\sigma^{-1}}) \otimes U \to V_\sigma \otimes X_e \otimes U \otimes F'(k_{\sigma^{-1}})$$

for all $V_\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_\sigma, X_e \in \mathcal{C}_e$, define a $\mathcal{C}$-module equivalence.

Proposition 6.3. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a graded fusion category. Then the $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category $(\mathcal{C}_e, \otimes)$ can be extended if and only if $\mathcal{C}$ is a semi-direct product. There is a one-to-one correspondence between equivalence classes of $\mathcal{C}$-extensions of $\mathcal{C}_e$ and conjugacy classes of graded tensor functors $Vec_G \to \mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_e \rtimes G$, then the category $\mathcal{C}_e$ is a $\mathcal{C}_e \rtimes G$-module category with action $[V, \sigma] \otimes W = V \otimes \sigma_*(W)$, see [21, Example 2.4].

Let $(\mathcal{C}_e, \odot, \mu)$ be an extension of $(\mathcal{C}_e, \otimes)$. By Corollary 3.8 $(\otimes, \mathcal{C}_\sigma)$ is the tensor product of $\mathcal{C}_\sigma$ and $\mathcal{C}_e$ as $\mathcal{C}_e$-bimodule categories, and by Proposition 4.1 $\odot$ defines a $\mathcal{C}_e$-module equivalence $\overline{\odot}$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{C}_\sigma \times \mathcal{C}_e \arrow[r, "\odot"] \arrow[d, "\otimes"'] & \mathcal{C}_e \\
\mathcal{C}_\sigma \arrow[ur, "\overline{\odot}"'] &
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. Thus $\overline{\odot}(V) = V \odot \mathbf{1}$, for all $V \in \mathcal{C}_\sigma$. For each $\sigma \in G$, let $\mathbf{1}_\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_\sigma$ be the unique object (up to isomorphism) such that $\mathbf{1}_\sigma \odot \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$.

Using the natural isomorphisms $\mu_{V_\sigma, X_e, \mathbf{1}}$ and $\mu_{V_\sigma \otimes X_e, \mathbf{1}_{\sigma^{-1}}, \mathbf{1}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
V_\sigma \odot X_e &\cong (V_\sigma \otimes X_e) \odot \mathbf{1} \\
&= (V_\sigma \otimes X_e) \odot (\mathbf{1}_{\sigma^{-1}} \odot \mathbf{1}) \\
&\cong (V_\sigma \otimes X_e \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\sigma^{-1}}) \odot \mathbf{1} \\
&= V_\sigma \otimes X_e \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\sigma^{-1}},
\end{aligned}$$

for all $V_\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_\sigma, X_e \in \mathcal{C}_e$. Then we can assume that $V_\sigma \odot X_e = V_\sigma \otimes X_e \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\sigma^{-1}}$ for all $V_\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_\sigma, X_e \in \mathcal{C}_e, \sigma \in G$.

The natural isomorphisms

$$\mu_{\mathbf{1}_\sigma, \mathbf{1}_h, \mathbf{1}} : \mathbf{1}_\sigma \otimes \mathbf{1}_h \otimes \mathbf{1}_{h^{-1}\sigma^{-1}} \to \mathbf{1}_\sigma \otimes \mathbf{1}_h \otimes \mathbf{1}_{h^{-1}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\sigma^{-1}}$$

define isomorphisms $\gamma_{h^{-1}, \sigma^{-1}} : \mathbf{1}_{h^{-1}\sigma^{-1}} \to \mathbf{1}_{h^{-1}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\sigma^{-1}}$ for all $\sigma, h \in G$. Now, by the pentagonal equation (1), the functor $F : \mathrm{Vec}_G \to \mathcal{C}, k_\sigma \mapsto \mathbf{1}_\sigma$ with the natural isomorphisms $\gamma_{\sigma,\tau} : \mathbf{1}_{\sigma\tau} \to \mathbf{1}_\sigma \otimes \mathbf{1}_\tau$, defines a graded tensor functor. By Lemma 6.1, $\mathcal{C}$ is equivalent to a semi-direct product fusion category.

The construction of the graded tensor functor $(F, \gamma) : \mathrm{Vec}_G \to \mathcal{C}$, associated to a $\mathcal{C}$-extension $(\mathcal{C}_e, \odot)$ of $(\mathcal{C}_e, \otimes)$, shows that $(\mathcal{C}_e, \odot)$ is equivalent to $(\mathcal{C}_e, \odot^F)$.

Let $(F, \gamma), (F', \gamma') : \mathrm{Vec}_G \to \mathcal{C}$ be graded functors, and $(T, \eta) : (\mathcal{C}_e, \odot^F) \to (\mathcal{C}_e, \odot^{F'})$ an equivalence of $\mathcal{C}$-module categories. The functor (T, η) is also an equivalence of $\mathcal{C}_e$-module categories, so there is a multiplicatively invertible object $U \in C_e$, such that $T(X_e) = X_e \otimes U$ and $\eta_{V_e, X_e} = \mathrm{id}_{V_e \otimes X_e \otimes U}$ for all $V_e, X_e \in \mathcal{C}_e$. The natural isomorphisms

$$\eta_{\mathbf{1}_\sigma, \mathbf{1}, k_{\sigma^{-1}}} : F(k_\sigma) \otimes F(k_{\sigma^{-1}}) \otimes U \to F(k_\sigma) \otimes U \otimes F'(k_{\sigma^{-1}}),$$

define natural isomorphisms

$$\Theta_{\sigma^{-1}} : F(k_{\sigma^{-1}}) \otimes U \to U \otimes F'(k_{\sigma^{-1}}),$$

for all $\sigma \in G$. Then the functor F and F' are conjugated by the pair $(U, \Theta_\sigma)_{\sigma \in G}$, where the commutativity of the diagram (4) follows from equation (3) for the functor module (T, η).

□

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an indecomposable $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category and $\overline{\mathcal{M}} = \operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{C}_e}^{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{M})$. Then the fusion category $\mathcal{C}^*_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}$ is G^{op}-graded.

If $A \in \mathcal{C}$ is an indecomposable semisimple algebra, then ${}_A\mathcal{C}_A$ is a fusion category and $B = {}^*A \otimes A \in {}_A\mathcal{C}_A$ is an algebra such that ${}_B({}_A\mathcal{C}_A)_B = \mathcal{C}$, see [7, Example 3.26] (note that ${}_A\mathcal{C}_A$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{C}^*_{\mathcal{M}}$ with reversed tensor product, where $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{C}_A$). Using the algebra B we can describe a bijective correspondence between module categories over $\mathcal{C}$ and module categories over ${}_A\mathcal{C}_A$, the correspondence is given by

$$Mod(\mathcal{C}) \to Mod({}_A\mathcal{C}_A)$$
$$\mathcal{M} \mapsto {}_A\mathcal{M};$$
$$Mod({}_A\mathcal{C}_A) \to Mod(\mathcal{C})$$
$$\mathcal{N} \mapsto {}_B\mathcal{N}$$

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $A \in \mathcal{C}_e$ be an algebra such that $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{C}_e)_A$. Note that ${}_A\mathcal{C}_A$ is a G-graded fusion category. Suppose that $((\mathcal{C}_e)_A, \odot)$ is an extension of $((\mathcal{C}_e)_A, \otimes)$. Then ${}_A(\mathcal{C}_e)_A$ is an extension of ${}_A\mathcal{C}_A$, so by Proposition 6.3, ${}_A(\mathcal{C})_A$ is a semidirect G-product fusion category.

Conversely, let $A \in \mathcal{C}_e$ such that ${}_A\mathcal{C}_A$ is a semidirect G-product fusion category. Then by Proposition 6.3 it defines an extension $({}_A(\mathcal{C}_e)_A, \odot)$ of $({}_A(\mathcal{C}_e)_A, \otimes)$. For $B = {}^*A \otimes A \in \mathcal{C}_e$ we have that ${}_B({}_A(\mathcal{C}_e)_A)_B \cong \mathcal{C}$, so using this tensor equivalence we have a structure of $\mathcal{C}$-module category over ${}_B({}_A(\mathcal{C}_e)_A) = (\mathcal{C}_e)_A$ which is an extension of $(\mathcal{C}_e)_A$.

The second part follows from the second part of Proposition 6.3. □

Corollary 6.4. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a G-graded fusion category. If $\mathcal{M}$ is an extension of an indecomposable $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category, then $\mathcal{C}^*_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a G^{op}-equivariantization of $(\mathcal{C}_e)^*_{\mathcal{M}}$. Conversely, if $\mathcal{C}$ is a G^{op}-equivariantization and $\mathcal{M}$ is an indecomposable $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category, then $\mathcal{C}^*_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a G-graded fusion category with $(\mathcal{C}^*_{\mathcal{M}})_e \cong (\mathcal{C}_e)^*_{\mathcal{M}}$.*

Proof. Using the same argument as in proof of Theorem 1.3, it is enough to see the case in which $\mathcal{M}$ is the $\mathcal{C}_e$-module category $(\mathcal{C}_e, \otimes)$.

By Theorem 1.3, there exists an action $* : \underline{G} \to \underline{\operatorname{Aut}_{\otimes}}(\mathcal{C}_e)$, such that $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_e \rtimes G$. The category $\mathcal{C}$ is a $\mathcal{C}_e \rtimes G$-module category with action $[V, \sigma] \otimes W = V \otimes \sigma_*(W)$, see [16, Proposition 3.2] or [21, Example 2.4.]. Moreover, the tensor category $(\mathcal{C}_e \rtimes G)^*_{\mathcal{C}_e}$, is monoidally equivalent to $(\mathcal{C}_e^G)^{\mathrm{rev}}$ the G-equivariantization of $\mathcal{C}$ with reversed tensor product, see [16, Proposition 3.2]. Conversely, $\mathcal{C}_e$ is a $\mathcal{C}_e^G$-module category through the forgetful functor $\mathcal{C}_e^G \to \mathcal{C}_e, (V, f) \mapsto V$, thus $(\mathcal{C}_e^G)^*_{\mathcal{C}_e} \cong (\mathcal{C}_e \rtimes G)^{\mathrm{rev}}$, see [16, Proposition 3.2]. □

Remark 6.5. Let H be a semisimple Hopf algebra such that the category of H-comodules, $\operatorname{Corep}(H)$, is a G-graded fusion category. Hence the forgetful functor $\operatorname{Corep}(H) \to \operatorname{Vec}$ defines a structure of $\operatorname{Corep}(H)$-module category over Vec, and it is an extension as $\operatorname{Corep}(H)_e$-module category. By Corollary 6.4 $\operatorname{Rep}(H) = \operatorname{Corep}(H)^*_{\operatorname{Vec}}$ is a G^{op}-equivariantization.

Conversely, if $\mathcal{C}$ is a G-equivariantization of the category of representations of a semisimple Hopf algebra Q, then $U \circ \operatorname{Forg}$ is a fiber functor of $\mathcal{C}$, where Forg: $\mathcal{C} \to \operatorname{Rep}(Q), (V, f) \mapsto V$ is the forgetful functor, and $U : \operatorname{Rep}(Q) \to \operatorname{Vec}$ is the forgetful functor of $\operatorname{Rep}(Q)$. Thus, by Tannaka-Krein reconstruction $\mathcal{C}$ is the category of representations of a semisimple Hopf algebra H, and by Corollary 6.4 $\operatorname{Rep}(H^*) = \mathcal{C}^*_{\operatorname{Vec}}$ is a G^{op}-graded fusion category.

Remark 6.6. Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 4.4, reduce the problem of constructing module categories over a graded fusion category $\mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in G}$, to the following steps:

(1) Classifying the indecomposable $\mathcal{C}_e$-module categories.
(2) Finding the subgroup S and the indecomposable $\mathcal{C}_e$-module categories $\mathcal{N}$, such that $\mathcal{N}$ is S-invariant.
(3) Determining if $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}_S}(\operatorname{Ind}^{\mathcal{C}_S}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{N}), \operatorname{Ind}^{\mathcal{C}_S}_{\mathcal{C}_e}(\mathcal{N}))$ is equivalent to a semi-direct S^{op}-product fusion category.
(4) Finding all graded functors from $\operatorname{Vec}_{S^{op}}$ to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}_S}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N})$, up to conjugation.

We shall briefly describe a way to solve the steps (3) and (4).

1. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a G-graded fusion category we have the following sequence of groups

$$\begin{tikzcd} U(\mathcal{C}_e) \arrow[r, "\iota"] & U(\mathcal{C}) \arrow[r, "\mathbf{deg}"] & G \end{tikzcd} \tag{5}$$

where ι is the inclusion and $\mathbf{deg}(X) = \sigma$ if $X \in \mathcal{C}_\sigma$.

Note that a G-graded fusion category is a crossed product fusion category if and only if the sequence (5) is exact. By Lemma 6.1, $\mathcal{C}$ is monoidally equivalent to a semi-direct product fusion category if and only if the sequence (5) is exact and there exists a *splitting morphism* of **deg**, *i.e.*, there exists a group morphism $\pi : G \to U(\mathcal{C})$ with $\mathbf{deg} \circ \pi = \operatorname{id}_G$ (thus (5) is a split extension), such that the obstruction $\omega(\mathcal{D})$ of the fusion subcategory $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{C}$ generated by $\{\pi(\sigma)\}_{\sigma \in G}$ is zero (see Subsection 2.2 for the definition of the obstruction of a pointed fusion category).

2. Let G and N be groups and $* : G \to \operatorname{Aut}(N)$ a group morphism. A function $\theta : G \to N, \sigma, \mapsto \theta_\sigma$ is called a 1-cocycle from G to N if

$$\theta_\sigma \theta_\tau = \theta_\sigma \sigma_*(\theta_\tau)$$

for all $\sigma, \tau \in G$. The set of all 1-cocycles is denoted by $Z^1(G, N)$. The group N acts over $Z^1(G, N)$ by

$$(u \cdot \theta)_\sigma = u \theta_\sigma \sigma_*(u^{-1}),$$

for all $u \in N, \sigma \in G, \theta \in Z^1(G,N)$. The set of orbits $Z^1(G,N)/G$ is denoted by $H^1(G,N)$, and two 1-cocycles in the same orbit are called cohomologous.

Suppose that $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_e \rtimes G$ is a semi-direct product fusion category. Hence $U(\mathcal{C}) = U(\mathcal{C}_e) \rtimes G$, and the sequence (5) is a semidirect product extension. Recall that there is a bijective correspondence between 1-cocycles from G to $U(\mathcal{C}_e)$ and splitting homomorphisms of **deg**. In fact, given $\theta \in Z^1(G, U(\mathcal{C}_e))$ the map $\pi_\theta : G \to U(\mathcal{C}_e) \rtimes G, \sigma \mapsto [\theta_\sigma, \sigma]$ is a splitting morphism of **deg**. Conversely, if $\pi_\theta : G \to U(\mathcal{C}_e) \rtimes G, \sigma \mapsto [\theta_\sigma, \sigma]$ is a splitting morphism, the map $\theta : G \to U(\mathcal{C}_e), \sigma \mapsto \theta_\sigma$ is a 1-cocycle. Moreover, the splitting morphisms are conjugate by an element in $U(\mathcal{C}_e)$ if and only if the 1-cocycles associated are cohomologous.

Definition 6.7. Let $\omega \in H^3(U(\mathcal{C}), k^*)$ be the obstruction of the maximal pointed fusion subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$, and let $\theta : G \to U(\mathcal{C}_e)$ be a 1-cocycle. The obstruction of θ is defined as the cohomology class of $\omega|_{X_\theta} \in H^3(X_\theta, k^*)$, where $X_\theta = \{[\theta_\sigma, \sigma]\}_{\sigma \in G} \subset U(\mathcal{C}_e) \rtimes G$.

Without loss of generality we may assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is skeletal. Thus there is a unique 3-cocycle $\omega \in Z^3(U(\mathcal{C}), k^*)$, such that $\alpha_{\sigma,\tau,\rho} = \omega(\sigma, \tau\rho)\mathrm{id}_{\sigma\otimes\tau\otimes\rho}$, and the cohomology class of ω is the obstruction of the maximal pointed fusion subcategory of $\mathcal{C}$.

Let $\theta \in Z^1(G, U(\mathcal{C}))$ such that $[\omega|_{X_\theta}] = 0$, and $L^\theta_\omega = \{\gamma \in C^2(G, k^*) | \delta(\gamma) = \omega|_{X_\theta}\}$. Then a graded tensor functor (F, γ) :$\mathrm{Vec}_G \to \mathcal{C}$ with $k_\sigma \mapsto [\theta_\sigma, \sigma]$ defines an element $\gamma' \in L^\theta_\omega$ by

$$\gamma_{k_\sigma, k_\tau} = \gamma'(\sigma, \tau)\mathrm{id}_{F(k_\sigma)\otimes F(k_\tau)}, \tag{6}$$

for all $\sigma, \tau \in G$. Conversely, using the formula (6) every element in L^θ_ω defines a graded functor with $F(k_\sigma) = [\theta_\sigma, \sigma]$ for all $\sigma \in G$.

The next proposition is a consequence of the previous discussion and Proposition 6.3.

Proposition 6.8. *Let* $* : \underline{G} \to \underline{\mathrm{Aut}_\otimes}(\mathcal{C}_e)$ *be a group action over* $\mathcal{C}_e$. *Then there is a bijective correspondence between* $\mathcal{C}_e \rtimes G$*-extension of* $(\mathcal{C}_e, \otimes)$ *and pairs* (θ, γ), *where* $\theta \in Z^1(G, U(\mathcal{C}_e))$ *is a 1-cocycle with obstruction zero and* $\gamma \in L^\theta_\omega = \{\gamma \in C^2(G, k^*) | \delta(\gamma) = \omega|_{X_\theta}\}$. *Two pairs* $(\theta, \gamma^\theta), (\nu, \gamma^\nu)$ *define equivalent* $\mathcal{C}$*-extensions if and only if there is* $u \in U(\mathcal{C}_e)$ *and* $\kappa \in C^1(G, k^*)$, *such that* $\theta = u \cdot \nu$, *and*

$$\gamma^\nu(\sigma,\tau) = \gamma^\theta(\sigma,\tau)\delta(\kappa)(\sigma,\tau)\omega([\theta_\sigma,\sigma],[\theta_\tau,\tau],[u,e])\omega([\theta_\sigma,\sigma],[u,e],[\nu_\tau,\tau])$$

for all $\sigma, \tau \in G$.

□

Remark 6.9. The group $Z^2(G, k^*)$ acts on L^θ_ω by multiplication, and this action is free and transitive. Thus L^θ_ω is a torsor over $Z^2(G, k^*)$, and there is a (non natural) bijective correspondence between L^θ_ω and $Z^2(G, k^*)$. Also, note that $\gamma, \gamma' \in L^\theta_\omega$ define tensor equivalent graded functors if and only if $\gamma\gamma^{-1} \in Z^2(G, k^*)$. Then set of tensor equivalence classes of graded functor with splitting homomorphism defined by $\theta \in Z^1(G, U(\mathcal{C}_e))$ is a torsor over $H^2(G, k^*)$.

References

[1] B. Bakalov and A. Kirillov Jr., *Lectures on Tensor categories and modular functors*, AMS, (2001).

[2] P. Deligne, *Catégories tannakiennes*, in : *The Grothendieck Festschrift*, Vol. II, Progr. Math., **87**, Birkhuser, Boston, MA, 1990, 111-195.

[3] V. Drinfeld, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, and V. Ostrik, *On Braided Fusion Categories I*, Selecta Math. N.S. 16, 1 (2010) 1–119.

[4] P. Etingof, D. Nikshych and V. Ostrik, *On fusion categories*, Ann. Math., 162 (2005), 581–642.

[5] P. Etingof, D. Nikshych and V. Ostrik, *Weakly group-theoretical and solvable fusion categories*, Adv. Math., 226 (2011) 176–205.

[6] P. Etingof, D. Nikshych and V. Ostrik, *Fusion categories and homotopy theory*, Quantum Topol., 1 (3) (2010) 209–273.

[7] P. Etingof and V. Ostrik, *Finite tensor categories*, Mosc. Math. J., 4 (2004), 627–654, 782–783.

[8] C. Galindo, *Clifford theory for tensor categories*, J. Lond. Math. Soc., (2) 83 (2011) 57–78.

[9] C. Galindo, *Crossed product tensor categories*, J. Algebra, 337 (2011) 233–252.

[10] S. Gelaki, D. Naidu and D. Nikshych, *Centers of graded fusion categories*, g, Alg. Number Th., 3 (2009), no. 8, 959-990

[11] J. Greenough, *Monoidal 2-structure of Bimodule Categories*, J. Algebra, 324 (2010), 1818-1859.

[12] D. Jordan and E. Larson , *On the classification of certain fusion categories*, Journal of Noncommutative Geometry, Vol 3, Issue 3, 2009, 481-499.

[13] J. Liptrap, *Generalized Tambara-Yamagami categories*, preprint arXiv:1002.3166v2.

[14] E. Meir, E. Musicantov, *Module categories over graded fusion categories*, preprint `arxiv:1010.4333`.

[15] D. Naidu, *Categorical Morita equivalence for group-theoretical categories.* Commun. Alg., 35 (2007), 3544-3565

[16] D. Nikshych, *Non group-theoretical semisimple Hopf algebras from group actions on fusion categories.* Selecta Math. 14 (2008), 145-161.

[17] V. Ostrik, *Module categories, weak Hopf algebras and modular invariants*, Transform. Groups, 8 (2003), 177-206.

[18] V. Ostrik, *Module categories over the Drinfeld double of a finite group*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2003) no. 27, 1507-1520.

[19] P. Schauenburg, *Actions of monoidal categories, and generalized Hopf smash products*, J. Algebra 270 (2003), 521–563.

[20] D. Tambara y S. Yamagami, *Tensor categories with fusion rules of self-duality for finite abelian groups*, J. Algebra, 209 (1998), 692–707.

[21] D. Tambara, *Invariants and semi-direct products for finite group actions on tensor categories*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 53 (2001), 429–456.

[22] Ulbrich, K-H, *Fiber functor of finite dimensional comodules*, Manuscripta Math., 65 (1989), 39–46.

[23] C. E. Watts, *Intrinsic characterizations of some additive funtors*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (1960), 5–8.

Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de los Andes
Carrera 1 N. 18A-10, Bogotá, Colombia
E-mail address: `cesarneyit@gmail.com, cn.galindo1116@uniandes.edu.co`